UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

FILED
JUN 1 3 2000

NANCY MAYER-WHITTINGTON, CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT

IN RE: VITAMINS ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Misc. No. 99-197 (TFH)

MDL No. 1285M

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

PHARMAVITE CORPORATION v. F. HOFFMAN-LA ROCHE, LTD., et. al., Civ. No. 00-1027TFH

STIPULATION AND ORDER RE: DEFENDANTS' JOINT RULE 37 MOTION TO COMPEL THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS IN RESPONSE TO DEFENDANTS' FIRST CONSOLIDATED REQUEST

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties hereto through their undersigned counsel that Defendants' pending Joint Rule 37 Motion to Compel the Production of Documents in Response to Defendants' First Consolidated Request ("Motion to Compel") is not directed toward Pharmavite Corporation ("Pharmavite"), because Pharmavite's written responses to Defendant's First Consolidated Request for Documents were due (pursuant to agreement of the parties) on June 2, 2000, after Defendants filed their Motion to Compel.

This Stipulation and Order does not waive any rights of Pharmavite or Defendants, and is without prejudice to any future request for relief by either Pharmavite or Defendants.

Dated: June 8, 2000

1 210057

1,1

Respectfully submitted,

Bradley S. Lui (DC Bar No. 425033) Seth Brown

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 5500

Washington, D.C. 20006-1888 Telephone: (202) 887-1500 Facsimile: (202) 887-0763

Attorneys for Plaintiff

PHARMAVITE CORPORATION

andrew S. Marout

Andrew S. Marovitz

MAYER, BROWN & PLATT 190 South LaSalle Street

Chicago, Illinois 60603 Telephone: (312) 782-0600 Facsimile: (312) 701-7711

Attorneys for Defendant BASF CORPORATION and, for purposes of this Stipulation, on behalf of the moving Defendants

SO ORDERED:

THOMAS F. HOGAN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE